

Appendix I: Results of Survey of Delegates Critiquing Summit

1. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding the summit's organization and communication?

<i>The top percentage indicates total respondent ratio; the bottom number represents actual number of respondents selecting the option</i>	1 Strongly Disagree	2 Disagree	3 Neither agree nor disagree	4 Agree	5 Strongly Agree
1. The purpose of the summit was clearly articulated to me prior to attending	8% 3	8% 3	13% 5	56% 22	15% 6
2. The purpose of the summit was relevant to education in Oregon.	0% 0	5% 2	3% 1	44% 17	49% 19
3. My role in the summit was clear to me prior to attending.	3% 1	21% 8	28% 11	36% 14	13% 5
4. It was clear to me how to access and use the resources during the summit.	0% 0	10% 4	18% 7	33% 13	38% 15
5. I used the resources provided to me during the summit.	3% 1	21% 8	36% 14	28% 11	13% 5
6. My role during the summit was clear and well articulated.	0% 0	8% 3	26% 10	49% 19	18% 7
7. I know what actions I will take to continue the work started by this summit.	0% 0	23% 9	38% 15	36% 14	3% 1
8. I have continued to use the summit resources since attending.	3% 1	26% 10	41% 16	23% 9	8% 3

2. How would you rate the following as they pertain to the mission of the summit?

<i>The top percentage indicates total respondent ratio; the bottom number represents actual number of respondents selecting the option</i>	1 No benefit to the mission of the summit	2 Little benefit	3 Some benefit	4 Very beneficial	5 Essential to the mission of the summit
1. Resource Binder	0% 0	8% 3	44% 17	36% 14	13% 5
2. Resource Desk	13% 5	21% 8	44% 17	18% 7	5% 2
3. Agenda and Group Guide	3% 1	5% 2	21% 8	53% 20	18% 7
4. Cary Sneider's Keynote Address	0% 0	5% 2	11% 4	61% 23	24% 9
5. Steve Pawlowski's Keynote Address	0% 0	11% 4	13% 5	45% 17	32% 12

3. How would you rate the focus areas that were considered at the summit relative to the mission of the summit?

The top percentage indicates total respondent ratio; the bottom number represents actual number of respondents selecting the option

	1 No benefit to the mission of the summit	2 Little benefit	3 Some benefit	4 Very beneficial	5 Essential to the mission of the summit
1. Alignment and Coordination: Systemwide	0% 0	8% 3	19% 7	49% 18	24% 9
2. Standards, Courses, and Curricula	0% 0	8% 3	16% 6	50% 19	26% 10
3. Instructional Professional Development	0% 0	3% 1	29% 11	45% 17	24% 9
4. Student Success	3% 1	0% 0	19% 7	41% 15	38% 14
5. Career Pathways	0% 0	5% 2	29% 11	45% 17	21% 8
6. Alignment and Coordination: Curricula and Co-curricula	0% 0	8% 3	24% 9	41% 15	27% 10
7. Best Practices	0% 0	8% 3	33% 12	44% 16	14% 5
8. Diversity	0% 0	5% 2	32% 12	30% 11	32% 12
9. Marketing Engineering & Applied Science Careers	0% 0	3% 1	19% 7	41% 15	38% 14

4. Are there any additional focus areas that you feel should have been included?

- 1 Inclusion of K-12 schools of all sizes, rural and urban.
- 2 Business and Industry Partnerships
- 3 No
- 4 Best practices didn't fit, but still was important. Needed higher level decision makers in the room.
- 5 As a group, what's the next step?
- 6 It would have been useful to have area concentration meetings with instructors of like interest. e.g: Welding Instructors
- 7 ASOT Degree in Engineering (for Transfer Purposes)

5. How would you rate the process and agenda of the summit?

The top percentage indicates total respondent ratio; the bottom number represents actual number of respondents selecting the option

	1 No benefit to the mission of the summit	2 Little benefit	3 Some benefit	4 Very beneficial	5 Essential to the mission of the summit
1. Vision, Mission, and Goals Discussion	0% 0	5% 2	29% 11	55% 21	11% 4
2. Themes Discussion	0% 0	5% 2	27% 10	62% 23	5% 2
3. Day 1 Focus Area Workgroup Discussion	0% 0	3% 1	28% 10	50% 18	19% 7
4. Gallery Walk	0% 0	3% 1	26% 10	55% 21	16% 6
5. Day 2 Focus Area Workgroup Discussion	0% 0	3% 1	24% 9	53% 20	21% 8
6. Focus Area Final Recommendations	0% 0	8% 3	28% 11	49% 19	15% 6
7. Next Steps	3% 1	8% 3	42% 16	29% 11	18% 7

6. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the OPAS Summit facilitators?

The top percentage indicates total respondent ratio; the bottom number represents actual number of respondents selecting the option

	1 Strongly Disagree	2 Disagree	3 Neither Agree nor Disagree	4 Agree	5 Strongly Agree
1. The facilitators did a very good job of transitioning from one activity to the next.	3% 1	0% 0	10% 4	59% 23	28% 11
2. The facilitators clearly articulated the procedures for each task.	0% 0	5% 2	5% 2	56% 22	33% 13
3. Adequate time was available for each discussion.	3% 1	21% 8	21% 8	49% 19	8% 3
4. The overall process was appropriate for the mission and goals of the summit.	0% 0	8% 3	26% 10	51% 20	15% 6

7. Do you have any additional comments regarding the facilitation of the summit?

- 1 Facilitators seemed more interested in process than product
- 2 There is never enough time for discussion on some of the topics since they are so complex, but the allotted time was appropriate for the goals of the summit
- 3 no
- 4 The facilitators did a great job in moving us from task to task. My big question is will anything change or come from the suggestions made at the summit. I don't believe enough time was spent on next steps nor do I think the process enabled change/follow up to occur.
- 5 Should have foreseen that "best practices" didn't fit with the rest and arranged a different treatment.
- 6 You might want to assign good facilitators with an interest in the topic to each breakout session
- 7 Very well done - good guidance but not obtrusive.
- 8 One facilitator seemed to talk too much and was a "bit bossy." The other one was very good.
- 9 Needed more time and opportunities for networking.
- 10 Even though the facilitators did their job, which was difficult considering the attendees different backgrounds, I felt they were not as warm and friendly as they could have been.
- 11 I'm not sure how to handle this any better than it was, but clearly more time was needed...probably many months of work to develop a really cogent plan.
- 12 I did not join a focus group. After attending the morning sessions on Thursday, I quickly discovered that this summit was not to be what I anticipated, so I quietly excused myself following lunch. I had expected the summit to be more in line with the Engineered Community conferences of the mid-to-late 1990s, which were hosted by the Sylvania Campus of Portland Community College and very well received by actual engineering instructors.

8. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements about your particular focus-area workgroup?

The top percentage indicates total respondent ratio; the bottom number represents actual number of respondents selecting the option

	1 Strongly Disagree	2 Disagree	3 Neither Agree nor Disagree	4 Agree	5 Strongly Agree
1. My focus area workgroup contained a balance of perspectives and opinions.	0% 0	13% 5	13% 5	44% 17	31% 12
2. I agree with the final strategies presented and feel that these will make a difference in my focus area.	0% 0	8% 3	26% 10	44% 17	23% 9
3. The timekeeper for my workgroup was effective at informing the	0%	8%	37%	42%	13%

group about the time available to complete each task.	0	3	14	16	5
4. The recorder effectively recorded the group's ideas.	0% 0	3% 1	13% 5	54% 21	31% 12
5. The reporter effectively organized and presented the group's final report.	0% 0	3% 1	15% 6	51% 20	31% 12
6. My workgroup facilitator effectively kept the group focused and encouraged balanced participation from everyone.	0% 0	3% 1	32% 12	50% 19	16% 6



9. How would you rate the following aspects of the summit?

	1 Unacceptable	2 Poor	3 Fair	4 Good	5 Excellent
<i>The top percentage indicates total respondent ratio; the bottom number represents actual number of respondents selecting the option</i>					
1. Location of the Facility	0% 0	0% 0	13% 5	38% 15	49% 19
2. Quality of the Facility	0% 0	0% 0	3% 1	49% 19	49% 19
3. Quality of Food	0% 0	0% 0	15% 6	41% 16	44% 17
4. Quantity of Food	0% 0	3% 1	10% 4	46% 18	41% 16